

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,910	02/08/2001	Masahiko Yamada	Q61218	5832
7590 03/18/2004 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
			KASSA, YOSEF	
	ania Avenue, N.W. OC 20037-3202		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
5 ,			2625	1
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004	, Ц

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		MYN				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/778,910	YAMADA, MASAHIKO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	YOSEF KASSA	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 F	ebruary 2001.					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,6,8,10,12,14,15,17,20,22,24,26,2</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4,5,7,9,11,13,16,18,19,21,23,25,27</u> 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. 28,29 and 31 is/are rejected. 7,30 and 32 is/are objected to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 08 February 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/778,910

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3,6,8,10,12,14,15,17,20,22,24,26,28,29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCann et al (US patent 6,516,089), and further in view of Kim (5,615,310).

With regard to claim 1, McCann discloses reconstructing an image which has a resolution differing from a reference-resolution i.e., original image, (see col. 3, lines 46-51); and calculating an image-processing parameter, based on a reference-processing parameter employed in performing a predetermined image-processing process on a reference-resolution image having said reference resolution (see col. 3, lines 51-56), image-processing parameter being employed in performing said predetermined image-processing process on the reconstructed image (see col. 3, lines 57-61) and causing the image characteristics, i.e., gamut image, of reconstructed image subjected to predetermined image-processing process to be substantially the same as the image characteristics of said reference-resolution image subjected to predetermined image-processing process (see col. 4, lines 52-63).

McCann does not explicitly call for reconstructing an image based on an image signal subjected to a multiple-resolution transformation process. However, at the same

Application/Control Number: 09/778,910

Art Unit: 2625

field of endeavor, Kim discloses this feature (see col. 4, lines 14-22). At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to incorporate the teaching of Kim image resolution matching process into Chen image reproduction system. The motivation doing so is to provide step of matching resolution before printing so that data having a resolution different from the primary resolution of an image printed as an original size (see col. 2, lines 1-4 of Kim).

With regard to claim 3, McCann discloses reconstructed image subjected to predetermined image-processing process is further converted to an image having a desired image-size (see col. 4, lines 54-63).

With regard to claim 6, McCann discloses reference-processing parameter is stored in correlation with image signal (see col. 4, lines 12-15).

Claim 8 is similarly analyzed as claim 6.

With regard to claim 10, McCann discloses image-processing parameter is calculated based on the characteristic of multiple-resolution transformation process (see col. 3, lines 50-61).

Claims 12, 14, 20, 22, 29 and 31 are similarly analyzed as claim 10.

Claims 15 and 24 are similarly analyzed as claim 1.

With regard to claim 17, McCann discloses zoom-processing means for further converting said reconstructed image subjected to predetermined image-processing process, to an image having a desired image-size (see col. 8, lines 1-9).

Claim 26 is similarly analyzed as claim 3.

Art Unit: 2625

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 2,4,5,7,9,11,13,16,18,19,21,23,25,27, 30 and 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Other Prior Art Cited

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent No. (6,122,412) to Noguchi et al discloses image processing apparatus, method and computer program product.

US Patent No. (6,175,352) to Kay et al discloses address generator display and spatial light modulator.

US Patent No. (6,532,024) to Everett et al disclose multi format on screen monitor.

US Patent No. (6,316,974) to Taraci et al disclose methods and apparatus for vertically locking input and output signals.

US Patent No. (6,567,116) to Aman et al disclose multiple object tracking system.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,910

Art Unit: 2625

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOSEF KASSA whose telephone number is (703) 306-5918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BHAVESH MEHTA can be reached on (703) 308-5246. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular communication and (703) 872-9306 for after Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631. The group receptionist number for TC 2600 is (703) 305-4700.

PATENT EXAMINER

Yosef Kassa

03/12/04.

BHAVESH M. MEHTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600